## Apportionment Status Report CMAQ and RSTP (as of April 30, 2008)

## AB 1012 Balances entering the 3rd Year (from FFY 2006)\* Rural County Summary

\*Previously referred to as Cycle 9

*Previously referred to as Cycle	; 9			
		CMAQ Amount		RSTP Amount
	CMAQ	Subject to	RSTP	Subject to
	Unobligated	AB 1012	Unobligated	AB 1012
	4/30/2008	Reprogramming	4/30/2008	Reprogramming
	Delivery		Delivery	
Region	Balance 1	11/01/2008 2	Balance 1	11/01/2008 2
Rural County Information:	1			
Del Norte	- I	-	-	-
Humboldt	- I	-	-	-
Lake	-	-	-	<del>-</del>
Mendocino	<del>-</del>	=	-	-
Lassen	-	-	-	-
Modoc	<u>-</u>	-	-	-
Plumas <sup>6</sup>	-	-	(1,873)	-
Shasta	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	-
Tehama	-	-	-	-
Trinity	<u>-</u>	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	-	-
El Dorado	<del>-</del>	-	-	-
Glenn	<del>-</del>	-	-	-
Nevada <sup>3</sup>	620,120	-	-	-
Placer <sup>4</sup>	-	-	-	<del>-</del>
Sierra	<del>-</del>	=	-	-
Inyo	<b>-</b>	-	-	-
Mono	<u> </u>	=	=	-
Alpine	=	=	-	-
Amador <sup>3</sup>	318,530	-	-	-
Calaveras <sup>3</sup>	954,805	140,833	-	-
Mariposa <sup>3</sup>	383,487	69,697	-	-
Tuolumne <sup>3</sup>	1,058,346	27,141	-	-
Imperial (SCAG) <sup>3,5</sup>	1,406,389	-	3,000,254	-
Rural Combined Totals:	\$4,741,677	237,671	\$2,998,381	
Kurai Comonicu Totais.	φ4,/41,0//	237,071	φ2,770,361	-

## Balances now include:

- \* Difference between Advanced/Estimated Apportionments vs. Actual Apportionment for CMAQ & RSTP for FFY 2006-07
- \* Difference between Advanced/Estimated Apportionments vs. Actual Apportionment for CMAQ & RSTP for FFY 2007-08
- \* Recission amounts for each region as required by Notice N 4510.673 on March 4, 2008.
- \* RSTP Exchange for FFY 07/08 effective March 27,2008
- \* NEPA Delegation contribution for FFY 07/08-Imperial (SCAG) only.

## Footnotes:

- <sup>1</sup> Indicates all apportionments not yet obligated.
- <sup>2</sup> Totals reflect balances in the third year.
- $^{3}$  Beginning in FFY 2006, these rural regions are now receiving CMAQ apportionments.
- <sup>4</sup> Balances for Placer County have been reconciled to correct negative balances shown due to a coding error.
- <sup>5</sup> Balances for Imperial adjusted to reflect a payback from SACOG per MOU
- <sup>6</sup> RSTP Exchange for FFY 06/07 was calculated on the Estimate vs Actual RSTP apportionment. Because the Actual apportionment was lower than the Estimate, the RSTP exchange from Plumas was higher than it should have been. The RSTP exchange for FFY 07/08 absorbed some of the negative balance and the exchange for FFY 08/09 should absorb the remaining balance.

Assumes the use of all previous balances.

The regional balances reflect activities that have been recorded in the Department's Local Assistance accounting system. There may be a delay between the FHWA authorization and the recording of the transaction in the Department's Local Assistance accounting system.